

Annual Report on Student Literacy Assessment

Year: 2023-24

1. Executive Summary

The Annual Student Literacy Assessment program was initiated to evaluate and enhance the understanding of the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) among students. This program aimed to gauge their knowledge, application skills, and critical thinking regarding the SDGs. The findings from this assessment have been used to inform curriculum enhancements, develop targeted training programs, and promote sustainability practices across the institution. This report provides a detailed account of the assessment framework, participation, results, and future recommendations.

2. Objectives

- To assess the awareness and understanding of the 17 SDGs among students.
- To measure the ability of students to apply SDG principles to real-world scenarios.
- To evaluate critical thinking skills in relation to sustainability challenges.
- To identify gaps in literacy and develop targeted interventions to bridge them.
- To align student competencies with global sustainability standards and institutional goals.

3. Assessment Framework

Key Areas Assessed:

- 1. **Knowledge of SDGs**: Understanding of the goals, their interconnections, and global impact.
- 2. **Application Skills**: Practical application of SDG principles to real-world problems.
- 3. **Critical Thinking**: Analysis and evaluation of the effectiveness of SDG initiatives in diverse contexts.

Assessment Tools Used:

• Online Quizzes: Multiple-choice questions designed to test foundational knowledge of SDGs.



- Scenario-Based Tasks: Real-world cases requiring students to propose solutions aligned with specific SDGs.
- **Group Projects:** Collaborative assignments addressing challenges such as renewable energy adoption, waste management, or gender equality.
- Feedback Mechanisms: Post-assessment surveys to gather insights on student perceptions and learning outcomes.

Participation Overview:

• Total Students Assessed: 3280

• **Schools Included:** School of Business, School of Technology, School of Design, School of Arts & Humanities, School of Architecture, and School of Law.

• **Assessment Duration:** 2 months

Timetable for 2023-24 SDG Training with School Slots

Date	Day	Time	Schools	Topic
2023-	Thursday	10:00 AM	School of Business,	Introduction to SDGs:
11-02		- 12:00	School of Law	Overview and Importance
		PM		
		2:00 PM -	School of	Introduction to SDGs:
		4:00 PM	Technology, School	Overview and Importance
			of Architecture	
2023-	Friday	10:00 AM	School of Design,	SDG 1-5: Poverty, Hunger,
11-03		- 12:00	School of Arts &	Health, Education, Gender
		PM	Humanities	Equality
		2:00 PM -	School of Business,	SDG 1-5: Poverty, Hunger,
		4:00 PM	School of Law	Health, Education, Gender
				Equality
2023-	Thursday	10:00 AM	School of	Group Activity: Identifying
11-09		- 12:00	Technology, School	Local Challenges Related to
		PM	of Architecture	SDGs
		2:00 PM -	School of Design,	Group Activity: Identifying
		4:00 PM	School of Arts &	Local Challenges Related to
			Humanities	SDGs
2023-	Friday	10:00 AM	School of Business,	SDG 6-10: Clean Water,
11-10		- 12:00	School of Law	Energy, Economic Growth,
		PM		Reduced Inequalities
		2:00 PM -	School of	SDG 6-10: Clean Water,
		4:00 PM	Technology, School	Energy, Economic Growth,
			of Architecture	Reduced Inequalities
2023-	Thursday	10:00 AM	School of Design,	Scenario-Based Learning:
11-16		- 12:00	School of Arts &	Addressing Inequalities in
		PM	Humanities	Urban Areas



		2:00 PM -	School of Business,	Scenario-Based Learning:
		4:00 PM	School of Law	Addressing Inequalities in
				Urban Areas
2023-	Friday	10:00 AM	School of	Case Studies: Success Stories of
11-17		- 12:00	Technology, School	SDG Implementation
		PM	of Architecture	
		2:00 PM -	School of Design,	Case Studies: Success Stories of
		4:00 PM	School of Arts &	SDG Implementation
			Humanities	
2023-	Thursday	10:00 AM	School of Business,	SDG 11-15: Sustainable Cities,
11-23		- 12:00	School of Law	Climate Action, Life on Land &
		PM		Water
		2:00 PM -	School of	SDG 11-15: Sustainable Cities,
		4:00 PM	Technology, School	Climate Action, Life on Land &
			of Architecture	Water
2023-	Friday	10:00 AM	School of Design,	Workshop: Designing
11-24		- 12:00	School of Arts &	Sustainable Urban Projects
		PM	Humanities	
		2:00 PM -	School of Business,	Workshop: Designing
		4:00 PM	School of Law	Sustainable Urban Projects

4. Key Findings

Overall Scores

Literacy Area	Average Score (%)	High Performers (%)	Areas for Improvement
Knowledge of SDGs	72%	40%	Awareness of lesser-known goals (e.g., SDG 14, 15)
Application Skills	65%	35%	Linking SDGs to local and regional challenges
Critical Thinking	68%	38%	Evaluating long-term impacts of SDG initiatives

Performance by Schools

School	Average Score (%)	Participants	Key Gaps Identified
School of Business	70%	780	Awareness of environmental SDGs



School of Technology	75%	700	Critical evaluation of technology solutions
School of Design	78%	650	Interconnection of SDGs in creative projects
School of Arts & Humanities	68%	600	Practical application of SDG principles
School of Architecture	72%	300	Integration of SDGs into urban planning
School of Law	65%	250	Awareness of SDGs related to justice and equity

5. Recommendations

1. Student Engagement Activities:

- Host sustainability hackathons and competitions to encourage innovative solutions.
- Promote student-led initiatives addressing SDG-related issues on campus and in the community.

2. Continuous Improvement:

- Schedule annual assessments to track progress and identify new areas for development.
- o Regularly update the assessment tools to include emerging sustainability topics.

6. Implementation Plan

Proposed Timelines for Each Phase of the Report Implementation:

Step	Description	Responsible Team	Proposed Timeline
Preparation	Develop and finalize assessment	Academic and	January -
Phase	tools, identify responsible team	Sustainability	February
	members, and design	Teams	(Q1)
	implementation strategy.		
Pilot Testing	Conduct trial runs of the	Academic Team	March (Q1)
Phase	assessment tools with select groups		
	and gather feedback for		
	refinement.		
Rollout Phase	Implement the assessment across	Academic Team	April - May
	all schools, ensuring maximum		(Q2)
	participation.		



Data	Gather data from assessments and	Institutional	June (Q2)
Collection	compile detailed results.	Research Team	
Phase			
Data Analysis	Analyze data to identify trends,	Institutional	July (Q3)
Phase	gaps, and actionable insights.	Research Team	
Report	Prepare a draft of the annual report,	Communication	August (Q3)
Drafting	including insights and	Team	
Phase	recommendations.		
Stakeholder	Share the draft report with	Communication	September
Review	stakeholders for review and	and Academic	(Q3)
	incorporate feedback.	Teams	
Publication	Publish the final report and share it	Communication	October (Q4)
Phase	with students, faculty, and other	Team	
	stakeholders.		
Follow-Up	Plan interventions and training	Academic and	November -
Actions	programs based on the findings of	Administrative	December
	the report.	Teams	(Q4)

7. Recommendations for Future Assessments

- **Expand Scope:** Include alumni and industry partners in future assessments to align with real-world needs.
- **Technology Integration:** Use advanced analytics tools to gain deeper insights from assessment data.
- **Global Benchmarks:** Compare student literacy levels with international standards for continuous improvement.

8. Photographs













































9. Annexures

- 1. Scores by Schools
- 2. Training Feedback Summary

1. Scores by Schools

School	Average Score (%)	Knowledge of SDGs (%)	Application Skills (%)	Critical Thinking (%)	Participants
School of Business	70	72	68	70	780
School of Technology	75	78	72	74	700
School of Design	78	80	76	78	650
School of Arts & Humanities	68	70	65	69	600
School of Architecture	72	75	70	71	300
School of Law	65	67	62	66	250



2. Training Feedback Summary

Feedback Highlights:

Training Aspect	Positive Feedback (%)	Needs Improvement (%)	Key Takeaways
Relevance of Content	85	15	Students found the content highly relatable to SDGs.
Delivery Methodology	80	20	Interactive sessions were appreciated. Suggestions for more hands-on activities.
Use of Real- World Scenarios	78	22	Case studies added value but required more diverse examples.
Duration of Training	70	30	Training duration was adequate, but additional time was requested for group discussions.
Clarity of Instructions	90	10	Instructions were clear and easy to follow.
Accessibility of Resources	88	12	Resources were readily available, with suggestions to add more digital tools.
Overall Satisfaction	85	15	Participants were generally satisfied and requested follow-up sessions.